

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/069,762	Applicant(s) HAN, MOON-KEY	
	Examiner Jennifer E. Novosad	Art Unit 3634	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Allowance

 (1) Ms. Jennifer E. Novosad (Primary Examiner).

(3) _____.

 (2) Mr. Robert Bushnell (Attorney).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 24 February 2006
Time: _____.

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
 N/A

Claims discussed:
 11 and 18


Prior art documents discussed:
 N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
 See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Discussed deleting the word "enlarged" from line 10 of claim 1 because there is no figure which shows the "enlarged end" of claim 11 AND the nut and bolt of claim 18. By deleting the term "enlarged" from the claim, claim 11 can be viewed to read on both Figures 1 and 3, i.e., the deletion of "enlarged" broadens the claim.